

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Sizewell C Project  
**Date:** 12 October 2021 22:34:30

---

Reference number: 20026832

Dear Sir/Madam

I understand that the examination period is about to close and I am writing to confirm my objections to the proposed construction of Sizewell C. I am even more convinced that the proposed Sizewell C site is not an appropriate location for a new nuclear power plant and the proposed development should not go ahead.

Sizewell C should not be constructed on the fragile eroding Suffolk coast. The Sizewell site was considered the most environmentally sensitive in the National Policy Statement EN-6, lying wholly within the Suffolk Coast & Heaths AONB, which is supposedly a 'protected landscape' with national and international environmental conservation designations. The environmental damage resulting from such a vast construction project over a period of many years will be utterly devastating.

The site presents risks associated with coastal erosion and flooding which have not been satisfactorily addressed. At a meeting with the Environment Agency on 28 September 2021, coastal defences were named as an outstanding issue of concern. The development and protection of the site with a hard coastal defence feature will inevitably have impacts on coastal processes to the north and south of the site and may exacerbate existing erosion.

The proposed road transport plan for the construction phase is not viable. Unsustainable levels of HGV traffic would have a huge adverse impact on roads and local communities across a wide area with traffic congestion, delays and rat running and fly parking on minor roads.

The impacts of traffic congestion and disruption on local businesses have not been considered. It is clear and EDF acknowledges that there would be a very significant impact on the tourist industry, with losses in income and jobs. EDF's claims about the numbers of jobs to be provided 'locally' do not stand up to inspection and at the peak construction period an influx of 6000 workers moving into the area will put unacceptable pressure on local housing, community infrastructure and services.

EDF have not resolved the critical issue of secure long term water supply during construction period, further evidence that the site is not viable. For such a fundamental issue not to have been resolved before the Development Consent Order application seems incredible. EDF adds further insult by proposing to add water tankers to the already unsustainable proposed levels of construction traffic until they manage to construct a temporary desalination plant powered by diesel generators.

The EPR reactor is still an unproven technology. There are only two completed reactors in the world, at Taishan in China, and one is shut down because of fuel failure, with very little information emerging as to the cause, or the implications for Olkiluoto, Flamanville, Hinkley C or Sizewell C.

The manner in which EDF has approached the Development Consent Order application, introducing changes at very late stages in the process, undermines their

credibility as a responsible applicant.

The Sizewell C project should **not** be granted consent.

Yours faithfully

Mary George

[REDACTED]

Melton

Woodbridge

Suffolk

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows